



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 36

19th September 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

What is the Purpose of Government? By Neville Archibald	1
Letter to the Editor	8

What is the Purpose of Government? By Neville Archibald

Back in 1774, America started its war of Independence. Two key points that forced the colonies to the point of rebellion, were “taxation without representation” and the punitive measures aimed at suppressing dissent. You could say that one created the other and that the toleration of continually being taken advantage of, reached a critical point. There were other grievances too, but the key issue seemed to be rule by a Government that no longer had its subject population’s desires in mind and people began to resent this. American leaders of the time tried to make some change in political circles, but were unsuccessful. Some would say it was all about what they could take from those fledgling colonies, without really listening to their needs.

We now jump forward in time to a little town in the UK, called Piddington. We all know of the flood of illegal migrants into the UK that is occurring, and the backlash from the residents. Housing is in short supply and hotels, hostels and other large accommodation centres are being sequestered to create a place for them to live. Many locals in these areas are not just finding it uncomfortable, but they are finding the disruption to their way of life and to their rule of law is too much. Criminal acts are being committed and offenders are being released on bail to re-offend, again and again. Women do not feel safe in their own streets due to the contempt many of these illegals have for women in their own country (which does not simply change as they cross the border). Cultures that are incompatible with the standards of British life are being allowed to continue under ‘tolerance’ and ‘equality’ flags. Residents are being accused of racism and intolerance for simply wanting what they have

succeeded in creating over the past 500 years, or longer.

Now the residents of this small town, numbering around 350, are being confronted with the housing of 1200 illegal immigrants in a nearby army barracks. A ballot was conducted, calling for a vote on the statement: "I support Piddington seeking to secede from the United Kingdom and pursuing self-determination." This from the BBC:

"Villagers in Piddington, near Bicester, voted on Tuesday over Home Office proposals to house up to 1,256 male asylum seekers at nearby MoD Bicester.

The referendum was organised by the parish council and out of 312 votes cast, 285 voted to leave, 26 voted no, and one ballot paper was spoiled.

The culture secretary told BBC Breakfast the government would not reconsider the migrant housing proposal.

Piddington's 350 residents were invited to cast their ballot in a small box outside the village hall."

<https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckz7zyn1lwypo>

So here, we are seeing something similar to the American quest for independence are we not? Not on the same scale admittedly, but the issue and intent is the same. Local residents have been told they have no say, and told, as said above that, "*... the government would not reconsider the migrant housing proposal.*"

A town of 350 has a certain amount of amenities, paid for in large part by them, through taxes and effort (much of it likely voluntary). To be told, note told - not asked, that they must now have this imposed on them is an affront that the early Americans probably would not have stood for. And this goes far deeper than just amenities.

Many communities in the UK are saying similar things, for similar reasons, many are also considering following Piddington's lead. When your 'legal' government is no longer acting for you, do you have the right to opt out? At what point is enough, enough? How many towns need copy this example before, either the government listens, or they are strong enough to do what they say, and secede. (note it has been said on many sites that this secession is symbolic only).

This brings us back to the question of, what is government for?

"This brings us to the word 'government'. A government is the administration of a society, but here again there is a confusion between two opposite and incompatible meanings. Any ordinary voluntary society, such as, for instance, a golf club or a scientific society, has to have a number of officers and a committee to organise its

activities on behalf of the members; but their sole function is to carry out the purpose of the Society, which is the reason why people join it.

If they fail to do so they would normally be replaced by another group of administrators, but if these also fail to further the purpose of the Society, the members would most probably 'contract out' and the Society would cease to exist.

Notice that, in this sort of 'free' or 'voluntary' society, the 'government' exists to carry out the policy agreed upon by all the members, who pay the running costs of the society, and are entitled to share in all the benefits arising from its operation .

In contrast, in another sort of human association – a business, Government Department, or other employing institution, it is the employers, represented by the 'management' who determine the policy, and pay the majority of the people in the association to carry it out. These people, therefore spend their working lives fulfilling the purposes of others, which may, of course, be excellent purposes, of which they fully approve .

If this is not so, they are, at least theoretically, free to contract out and seek other employment, closer to their own desires . But, since they are dependent on their employment for their livelihood and that of their families, in times of financial stringency and unemployment this freedom may be taken from them, as it is to a large extent in the 'socialist' countries, and when this happens, the association ceases to be in any sense voluntary or free .

The point I want to make here is that the Government of a Free Society must be of the first type, existing solely to further the purposes of its members, and must not be any sort of Management, employing and using the population for its own purposes, especially as people cannot contract out of a general society such as a Nation, except at the heavy cost of abandoning their homes and their native environment: But since a nation is not an association for any specialised purpose, but exists to serve the general, and innumerable and various purposes of all its members , it is clear that the proper function of the Government in a Free Society must be to provide those conditions of security and stability which are necessary if people are to live their own lives and to ensure that they gain the full benefits of the association.”

Geoffrey Dobbs from his booklet, Responsible Government in a Free Society.

Here we see a basic explanation that points out several points relevant to our previous discussion. Contracting out of an existing society (like Piddington is suggesting), due to a Government that puts the needs of a group of people from outside that very society first, is a lot like a cricket club's decision to play football and force the cricketers to watch on. While we are talking about supposedly refuge seeking people rather than cricketers, the point is still the same.

The existing society should be the driver of their lives and not subject to this control by outside forces.

There will be those who say that the actions of the government are a reflection of the voting public, and therefore appropriate. To this Dobbs also has an answer:

“But the degree of force or fraud available for use against the people by a political monopoly, and especially that extreme form of it known as a Socialist government, far surpass these, since it has control of the entire forces of the State. Indeed, since the Socialist State is the enemy of all ‘private interests’ within it, i.e. of all ‘unofficial’ persons, it is true to say that the socialist idea of ‘freedom’ is much like that of an Occupying Power. Not only are the subject people taxed, bullied, frustrated, managed, and oppressed, but they are permitted, and in a fully socialist State, virtually compelled, to register their agreement with the oppression to which they are being subjected by voting for the oppressors.

In the U.S .S.R., for instance, this reaches its logical conclusion in the choice between voting for the single list of candidates approved by the Party, or registering one’s disaffection secretly with those who will know how to deal with it. In the Western World, we have not quite reached that stage, but are well on the way towards it. Though we have a choice of Parties, it will be noticed that their programmes differ only in inessentials, or at any rate amount to much the same thing, whatever promises are made and whichever Party is returned to power. The real alternative which most people would choose if they were offered it, is never on the agenda.”

This ‘differing in inessentials’ is exactly where claims of mandates fail. To say the population is behind them in their decisions, when the crucial decisions are not separate from the entire package, nor available to vote on, is not a credible reason for the claim. We have had, in all western countries as far as I can see, tweedledum and tweedledee choices, differing only in outward appearance, but always that same fat cartoon character. The window dressing of parties never changes the contents of the shop inside. We still go into a hardware store expecting to buy clothes, yet come out disappointed when all we can get is work-ware.

We need to learn how to shop elsewhere, or better yet how to make our own clothes. This translates directly into political life by recognising we are in charge of the seamstress or tailor’s orders. If we want a ballgown or a three piece suit, we find that individual craftsman who can deliver it. If this means a local that understands your desire, great. If it means you need to start your own shop and employ someone that understands, then so be it! If you do not do this – you will continue to clump around the dance-floor in hob-nailed boots and dungarees!

How to say what the public wants without really addressing it

Once again the minister for Home Affairs, Mr Tony Burke has shown he needs to borrow ideas from One Nation to stay relevant. Sadly his idea of borrowing becomes twisted and not entirely representative of the Australian populations concerns – but then he is a part of the Labor party!

Immigration, and the limiting thereof, has long been a part of Pauline Hanson's commitments. The huge rise in numbers of people, who are showing us they do not wish to assimilate, has not escaped the notice of ordinary Australians, yet immigration, as with the naked emperor parading before the nobles, each faction of the Uni-party can only see the fine (but non existent) garments being worn.

When it finally comes to the attention due to pressure, they suggest adjusting the hem of the aforesaid non-existent regal robes rather than actually (ad)dressing it.

When it comes to migration, I would have thought that working backpackers and visitors under temporary Visas were the least of our concerns. Limiting those who come to experience our country and foster international relations (which is exactly the outcome most backpacking wanderers proffer) is not addressing the real concerns. Add to that the minuscule figures they represent and this move can only be a placating move.

I remember my days of backpacking through India, Europe and America, all those I crossed paths with were excited to experience other countries, to get to know the 'real' people, as opposed to the 'Mass media' representation via politics etc. The world wide phenomenon of 'Backpacking' has done far more for international relations and bringing the world together than the countless lavish and manipulative political expenditures of the 'so-called' international relations exercises. The Grass Roots interactions are what makes this world a safer place! Realising that the average Mum and Dad and Children, are mostly the same the world over. This can only come from us, and be promulgated through our society by the sharing of those experiences when we get back home.

Yet this is a part of Mr Burkes (and the Labor Party's) solution:

"In a speech at the National Press Club (NPC) in Canberra on Thursday Mr Burke described the overhaul as the "next stage" of policies designed to deliver the net overseas migration (NOM) figures in the budget of 245,000 this year and 225,000 next financial year"

"Mr Burke unveiled a dramatic overhaul of the Working Holiday Maker program, also known as backpackers."

"... a ballot system would be introduced to limit the number of backpackers who are able to stay in Australia beyond one year."

Eligibility for a second year stay will be capped at 45,000 places and applicants would still need to complete 88 days of regional work before entering the ballot. For the third year, the ballot would be restricted to just 5,000 places with a six-month regional work requirement to qualify.

Last year about 57,000 backpackers stayed in Australia for a second year and about 31,000 did a third year.”

Hardly the stuff of excess, not the ones the majority of Australians are worried about! In fact these people are quite often those we work beside when doing the menial or disfavoured jobs that I believe our politicians wouldn't stoop to. 'Fracturing social cohesion', that big sounding expression that Hansonites are supposedly accused of, will only be helped by this focus and it relates directly to the Uni-parties and their insistence that we cannot ask for real change.

He mentions other restructuring efforts within the immigration portfolio as well, but I do not believe they will really address the concerns of many, except in a mildly placating way. My guess is that they hope it will not be seen for what it is, they are relying on people not looking deeply enough at it.

The murmurings in the crowd are telling me that more and more are seeing that nude emperor, I only hope that they also see the truth that the 'nobles' are suggesting a hem readjustment in an attempt to stay in control, to just cover up that naked truth.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2026-09-17/labor-immigration-crackdown-students-backpackers-overstay-visa/107164354>

The Consequences of the Iran conflict

With the war in Iran ongoing and drone strikes taking out different means of supply, it matters not who stops it or why, oil restrictions must come into play. Europe has already been as much as told this coming winter will be devastating to them. Reliant on oil (and with strategic reserves continually being used), the energy required to 'keep the lights on', so to speak, is going to falter. What this looks like is being seen around the world in real time, Europe more than elsewhere at this point.

The hard facts are that cold kills far more people than heat, limited fuel plus restrictive monetary policy can only lead to privations that will prove it. Things are not looking good. Social cohesion means more under these circumstances than ever before, but this too has suffered almost irreparably by political manipulation and unrestricted migration. Communities across Europe are all witnessing similar difficulties in this regard, rises in inter-ethnic violence and homelessness are at all time high levels, to pretend otherwise is lunacy. An increase in policing or enacting military style containment is a step, that if taken,

is much too little, much too late. A better solution would have been to address these concerns and fix them long before it got to this stage. The fact that it hasn't been done, leads me to conclude that perhaps the police state has arrived at last. Brought in purposefully to fulfil the requirements of a world state! But then that would only be conjecture by me, according to my interpretation, wouldn't it. Let's see what arises.

Here in Australia, the war looks like increased prices for everything as transport costs go through the roof. Independent truck drivers, in many instances, are just staying home, they cannot afford the fuel prices, they cannot build them into their cost structures. The larger companies are just passing these cost on, what else can they do. Inflation must be driven up! Yet the reserve bank responds by increasing interest rates as though we are over-spending and can reduce this extravagance! Our political elite keep over-spending and refusing to address the wastage we know is out there, taxation will fix it! No real solution is on offer from anyone with the power to act.

America as well is seeing unrest and rising prices. Some agricultural land is going to sit fallow, as it will in many countries, due to high diesel prices. Without sufficient returns to make the farm viable, many will opt to sit tight. What else can they do? Already there are some organisations that are predicting food shortages. Many of these are the usual suspects, J. P. Morgan, the UN world food program, even ten news https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FbUDW_Bv8IU warns of it.

Many are citing El Nino or climate related issues, but just as many cite fertiliser shortage and cost structures too.

All of these things are entirely man made if look at the actual restrictions. Our re-structuring of farming over the past decades has seen us come to rely on industrial scale activity, and the gambling of the futures markets certainly doesn't help actual farmers. Rather than actual repeatable farming practices being used, monetary policy has driven what was previously entirely natural, into questionable pursuit of profit alone. This comes falling down when the monetary 'house of cards' collapses.

Once again we see the reason we must learn the about truth money. We must seek an alternative that allows us to do what is physically possible. We must see money as a ticket to allow action, not as a restriction to stop us from doing.

C.H.Douglas wrote about this very problem. In the 1920's he realised that the only outcome of false monetary policy (our current system) was debilitating debt and some form of world control enacted as an attempt to fix it. The attempt, of course, and it's outcome was the real reasoning behind leaving it faulty in the first place.

As the preface of the book describes:

"The whole world at the present time is suffering from a gigantic man-made disaster which threatens to culminate in a new Dark Age of tyranny. It is the fact that the disaster is man-made which forms the subject matter of this profoundly important book. Over fifty years ago the late C. H. Douglas divined the shape of things to come, and in a series of books laid bare the true and the false principles of political economy in an effort to forestall this present disaster. But the coming of the Second World War ushered in the contemporary World Revolution, and it is with the protean manifestations of this revolution that this book deals. Nothing like it has ever been published, and it will amply repay repeated and careful study. Christian Civilisation could yet be restored if its underlying principles were first understood, and then applied. "A national culture is the soul of a people, and the idea that a people can lose its soul and retain its identity is of a piece with the rest of dialectical materialism. . . .

But the malady is much graver now, and probably only the surgeon offers an effective solution." ***

Letter to the Editor

Dear Editor

Since you and I have been spending too much, the Reserve Bank has chosen to increase interest rates. I haven't bought any more goods: they just cost more!

They cost more because manufacturers attempt to recover higher costs for fuel, power rent etc. Most businesses also have an interest component in their costs, so the Bank raising interest is adding to the problem.

Higher interest costs either lead to higher prices or increased insolvency. Hardly an appropriate outcome for anyone, or the economy!

Yours etc

Ken Grundy Naracoorte SA 5271

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.